

# Misleading clinical evidence and systematic reviews on ivermectin for COVID-19

Luis Ignacio Garegnani <sup>1</sup>, Eva Madrid <sup>2</sup>, Nicolás Meza <sup>2</sup>

10.1136/bmjebm-2021-111678

<sup>1</sup>Research Department, Instituto Universitario del Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina  
<sup>2</sup>Interdisciplinary Centre for Health Studies (CIESAL), Universidad de Valparaíso, Cochrane Chile Associate Centre, Viña del Mar, Chile

Correspondence to: **Nicolás Meza**, CIESAL, Universidad de Valparaíso, Viña del Mar, Chile; nicolas.meza@uv.cl

Since WHO declared the COVID-19 as a pandemic,<sup>1,2</sup> healthcare systems all over the world have focused their efforts on limiting the spread of SARS-CoV-2, and despite the ceaseless development of strategies to struggle with the impact of COVID-19, there is no sign of let-up. And the stress and overburden elicited by the pandemic—especially in vulnerable or marginalised populations—remain unstoppable, while the possibility of massive vaccination gives hope for a respite.<sup>3,4</sup> Beyond public health interventions, several drugs have been considered for the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection,<sup>5</sup> which triggers severe respiratory symptoms and critical illness in approximately 5%–20% of patients, with intensive care requirements and high mortality.<sup>6–10</sup> In this article, we appraise and debate about the available evidence regarding the role of ivermectin for COVID-19.

Randomised Evaluation of COVID-19 Therapy (RECOVERY) trial results have had a critical role establishing the most useful pharmacological interventions in COVID-19 critical care, such as dexamethasone.<sup>11</sup> However, despite the development of new therapies and the attempts for implementing repurposed drugs (because of their potential immunomodulator or antiviral effects), no reliable specific therapy has been identified yet. Azithromycin, chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine are some of those drugs, whose adverse effects and/or concerns about efficacy on COVID-19 treatment<sup>12</sup> have determined its deimplementation by different agencies and regulatory authorities.<sup>13–15</sup> And despite the wide dissemination of these recommendations, paradoxically, its adherence in both high-income, and middle-income and low-income countries has been hindered not only by the COVID-19 social media infodemic, but also by authorities.<sup>16</sup>

Ivermectin is a broad-spectrum antiparasitic agent approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) of the USA proved to be safe at the conventional dose of  $\leq 200 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , although severe adverse effects ranging from ataxia to seizures have occasionally been reported.<sup>5</sup> And due to its in vitro antiviral activity against a broad range of viruses, it has been used off-label for the treatment of some viral diseases.<sup>13,17</sup>

In a vertiginous search for COVID-19 treatments, Caly *et al* conducted an in vitro exploration that showed ivermectin's inhibitor role on the replication of the SARS-CoV-2 virus,<sup>17,18</sup> among few other in silico and in vitro results suggesting the same.<sup>19–21</sup> Thus, a considerable amount of preprints and protocol records quickly appeared, reporting the clinical efficacy of ivermectin in

standard doses for COVID-19. The dissemination of these results caused confusion, and the general population and some clinicians endorsed the use of ivermectin, especially in Latin America.<sup>22</sup> However, in a matter of days since the publication of Caly *et al*<sup>17</sup> and its repercussions, a general publication from the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) stated that "...ivermectin is incorrectly being used for the treatment of COVID-19, without any scientific evidence of its efficacy and safety for the treatment of this disease."<sup>23</sup>

An important controversial point to consider in any rationale is the  $5 \mu\text{M}$  required concentration to reach the anti-SARS-CoV-2 action of ivermectin observed in vitro,<sup>17</sup> which is much higher than  $0.28 \mu\text{M}$ , the maximum reported plasma concentration achieved in vivo with a dose of approximately  $1700 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  (about nine times the FDA-approved dosification).<sup>24,25</sup> In this sense, basic fundamentals for assessing ivermectin in COVID-19 at a clinical level appear to be insufficient. Among other reasons, we believe this might have led WHO to exclude ivermectin from its Solidarity Trial for repurposed drugs for COVID-19,<sup>12</sup> which raises questions about the pertinence of conducting clinical studies on ivermectin.

Nevertheless, assessments of ivermectin as prophylaxis or treatment for mild to severe COVID-19 continue being published in preprints<sup>26,27</sup> and protocol repositories,<sup>28,29</sup> which do not follow the recommended process to ensure quality standards in publications; whereas peer-reviewed reports (both observational and experimental studies) are slowly emerging, yet methodologically limited by heterogeneity in population receiving ivermectin, dosis applied and uncontrolled co-interventions.<sup>28–30</sup> Similarly, other studies that can be rapidly retrieved in ClinicalTrials.gov, medRxiv and MEDLINE make up a quite heterogeneous body of evidence<sup>31–33</sup> (including ivermectin as intervention, but with different underlying clinical questions), among other issues that do not contribute to the certainty of evidence—according to the systematic reviews that we comment on below.

Up to February 2021, the PAHO identified twenty two ivermectin randomised clinical trials through a rapid review of current available literature.<sup>34</sup> There is considerable heterogeneity in the population receiving ivermectin, with studies administering it to family contacts of confirmed COVID-19 cases as a prophylactic measure<sup>29</sup> and other studies using ivermectin for treatment of mild and moderate infected cases<sup>28</sup> or even severe hospitalised patients.<sup>30</sup> Applied dosis and outcomes



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

**To cite:** Garegnani LI, Madrid E, Meza N. *BMJ Evidence-Based Medicine* Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/bmjebm-2021-111678

of interest were also highly variable. Additionally, patients also received various cointerventions, and control groups received different kinds of comparators ranging from placebo or no intervention to standard care or even hydroxychloroquine. The authors claim that pooled estimates suggest beneficial effects with ivermectin, but the certainty of the evidence was very low due to high risk of bias and small number of events throughout the included studies. Most study results have been made publicly available as preprints or unpublished, with no peer review or formal editorial process. Others incorporated their results only in the clinical trial register, but nearly half of these randomised clinical trials had not been registered. Registering clinical trials before they begin and making results available fulfils a large number of purposes, like reducing publication and selective outcome reporting biases, promoting more efficient allocation of research funds and facilitating evidence syntheses that will inform stakeholders and decision-makers in the future.

A recently published systematic review and network meta-analysis<sup>35</sup> compared the efficacy and safety of pharmacological interventions for COVID-19 in hospitalised patients. It included 110 studies (78 published and 38 unpublished) with 40 randomised clinical trials and 70 observational studies. Based on observational data, they found that high-dose intravenous immunoglobulin, ivermectin and tocilizumab were associated with reduced mortality rate in critically ill patients. None of the analysed drugs was significantly associated with increased non-cardiac serious adverse events compared with standard care, but the overall certainty of the evidence was very low in all outcomes and reduced the ability for recommendation.

Different websites (such as <https://ivmmeta.com/>, <https://c19ivermectin.com/>, <https://tratamientotemprano.org/estudios-ivermectina/>, among others) have conducted meta-analyses with ivermectin studies, showing unpublished colourful forest plots which rapidly gained public acknowledgement and were disseminated via social media, without following any methodological or report guidelines. These websites do not include protocol registration with methods, search strategies, inclusion criteria, quality assessment of the included studies nor the certainty of the evidence of the pooled estimates. Prospective registration of systematic reviews with or without meta-analysis protocols is a key feature for providing transparency in the review process and ensuring protection against reporting biases, by revealing differences between the methods or outcomes reported in the published review and those planned in the registered protocol. These websites show pooled estimates suggesting significant benefits with ivermectin, which has resulted in confusion for clinicians, patients and even decision-makers. This is usually a problem when performing meta-analyses which are not based in rigorous systematic reviews, often leading to spread spurious or fallacious findings.<sup>36</sup>

Concluding, research related to ivermectin in COVID-19 has serious methodological limitations resulting in very low certainty of the evidence, and continues to grow.<sup>37-39</sup> The use of ivermectin, among others repurposed drugs for prophylaxis or treatment for COVID-19, should be done based on trustable evidence, without conflicts of interest, with proven safety and efficacy in patient-consented, ethically approved, randomised clinical trials.

**Correction notice** This article has been corrected since it appeared Online First. In the fifth paragraph, "...rationale is the 0.5 µM required concentration...", concentration has been corrected to 5 µM.

Twitter Nicolás Meza @nicolasmezac

**Contributors** All authors contributed equally in the manuscript development. LIG wrote the first draft of the manuscript. NM and EM revised the first draft of the manuscript and wrote part of the introduction and the appraisal of the available evidence.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent for publication** Not required.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

This article is made freely available for use in accordance with BMJ's website terms and conditions for the duration of the covid-19 pandemic or until otherwise determined by BMJ. You may use, download and print the article for any lawful, non-commercial purpose (including text and data mining) provided that all copyright notices and trade marks are retained.

#### ORCID iDs

Luis Ignacio Garegnani <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4605-9473>

Eva Madrid <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8095-5549>

Nicolás Meza <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9505-0358>

#### References

- Singh A, Gupta V. SARS-CoV-2 therapeutics: how far do we stand from a remedy? *Pharmacol Rep* 2021. doi:10.1007/s43440-020-00204-0. [Epub ahead of print: 03 Jan 2021].
- Libster R, Pérez Marc G, Wappner D, *et al*. Early high-titer plasma therapy to prevent severe Covid-19 in older adults. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384:610-8.
- Stawicki SP, Jeanmonod R, Miller AC, *et al*. The 2019-2020 novel coronavirus (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2) pandemic: a joint American College of academic international Medicine-World academic Council of emergency medicine multidisciplinary COVID-19 Working group consensus paper. *J Glob Infect Dis* 2020;12:47-93.
- WHO. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) situation reports. Available: <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/> [Accessed 22 Jan 2021].
- Jean S-S, Hsueh P-R. Old and re-purposed drugs for the treatment of COVID-19. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2020;18:843-7.
- Wiersinga WJ, Rhodes A, Cheng AC, *et al*. Pathophysiology, transmission, diagnosis, and treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a review. *JAMA* 2020;324:782.
- Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72 314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA* 2020;323:1239-42.
- Guan W-jie, Ni Z-yi, Hu Y, *et al*. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med Overseas Ed* 2020;382:1708-20.
- Grasselli G, Pesenti A, Cecconi M. Critical care utilization for the COVID-19 outbreak in Lombardy, Italy: early experience and forecast during an emergency response. *JAMA* 2020;323:1545-6.
- Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, *et al*. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020;8:475-81.
- Horby P, Lim WS, RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 - Preliminary Report. *N Engl J Med*.
- WHO Solidarity Trial Consortium, Pan H, Peto R, *et al*. Repurposed Antiviral Drugs for Covid-19 - Interim WHO Solidarity Trial Results. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384:497-511.
- National Institutes of Health. COVID-19 treatment guidelines panel. coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) treatment guidelines. Available: <https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/> [Accessed 13 Jan 2021].

- 14 Francisco EM. COVID-19: reminder of the risks of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine, 2020. Available: <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/covid-19-reminder-risks-chloroquine-hydroxychloroquine> [Accessed 23 Jan 2021].
- 15 Center for Drug Evaluation, Research. FDA cautions use of hydroxychloroquine/chloroquine for COVID-19, 2020. Available: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-cautions-against-use-hydroxychloroquine-or-chloroquine-covid-19-outside-hospital-setting-or> [Accessed 23 Jan 2021].
- 16 BBC News. Jack Goodman and Coronavirus: Fake cures in Latin America's deadly outbreak, 2020. Available: <https://www.bbc.com/news/53361876> [Accessed 19 Jan 2021].
- 17 Caly L, Druce JD, Catton MG, *et al.* The FDA-approved drug ivermectin inhibits the replication of SARS-CoV-2 in vitro. *Antiviral Res* 2020;178:104787.
- 18 Rizzo E. Ivermectin, antiviral properties and COVID-19: a possible new mechanism of action. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol* 2020;393:1153–6.
- 19 Azam F, Taban IM, Eid EEM, *et al.* An *in-silico* analysis of ivermectin interaction with potential SARS-CoV-2 targets and host nuclear importin  $\alpha$ . *J Biomol Struct Dyn* 2020:1–14.
- 20 Li N, Zhao L, Zhan X. Quantitative proteomics reveals a broad-spectrum antiviral property of ivermectin, benefiting for COVID-19 treatment. *J Cell Physiol* 2021;236:2959–75.
- 21 Sen Gupta PS, Biswal S, Panda SK, *et al.* Binding mechanism and structural insights into the identified protein target of COVID-19 and importin- $\alpha$  with *in-vitro* effective drug ivermectin. *J Biomol Struct Dyn* 2020:1–10.
- 22 Mega ER. Latin America's embrace of an unproven COVID treatment is hindering drug trials. *Nature* 2020;586:481–2.
- 23 Paho.org. Recommendation regarding the use of ivermectin as a treatment for COVID-19. Available: <https://www.paho.org/en/documents/recommendation-regarding-use-ivermectin-treatment-covid-19> [Accessed 22 Jan 2021].
- 24 Peña-Silva R, Duffull SB, Steer AC, *et al.* Pharmacokinetic considerations on the repurposing of ivermectin for treatment of COVID-19. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2021;87:1589–90.
- 25 Guzzo CA, Furtek CI, Porras AG, *et al.* Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of escalating high doses of ivermectin in healthy adult subjects. *J Clin Pharmacol* 2002;42:1122–33.
- 26 Rajter JC, Sherman MS, Fattah N. Icon (ivermectin in Covid nineteen) study: use of ivermectin is associated with lower mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID19. *medRxiv* 2020. doi:10.1101/2020.06.06.20124461
- 27 A WE, Hany B, Youssef SA. Efficacy and safety of ivermectin for treatment and prophylaxis of COVID-19 pandemic. *Research Square* 2020. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-100956/v1
- 28 Clinical Trial. Clinical trial of ivermectin plus doxycycline for the treatment of confirmed Covid-19 infection. Available: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04523831> [Accessed 14 Jan 2021].
- 29 Clinical Trial. Prophylactic ivermectin in COVID-19 contacts.. Available: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04422561> [Accessed 14 Jan 2021].
- 30 Hashim HA, Maulood MF, Rasheed AM. Controlled randomized clinical trial on using ivermectin with doxycycline for treating COVID-19 patients in Baghdad, Iraq. *medRxiv* 2020:2020.10.26.20219345.
- 31 Chowdhury A, Shahbaz M, Karim MR. A randomized trial of ivermectin-doxycycline and hydroxychloroquine-azithromycin therapy on COVID19 patients. *Research Square* 2020. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-38896/v1
- 32 Podder C, Chowdhury N, Sina M. Outcome of ivermectin treated mild to moderate COVID-19 cases: a single-centre, open-label, randomised controlled study [Internet]. *IMC J Med Sci* 2020;14:002 [http://www.imcjms.com/registration/journal\\_abstract/353](http://www.imcjms.com/registration/journal_abstract/353)
- 33 Krolewiecki A, Lifschitz A, Moragas M. Antiviral effect of high-dose ivermectin in adults with COVID-19: a pilot randomised, controlled, open label. *Multicentre Trial* 2020.
- 34 PAHO. Ongoing living update of COVID-19 therapeutic options: summary of evidence, 2021. Available: [https://iris.paho.org/bitstream/handle/10665.2/52719/PAHOIMSEIHCOVID-19200030\\_eng.pdf?sequence=17&isAllowed=y](https://iris.paho.org/bitstream/handle/10665.2/52719/PAHOIMSEIHCOVID-19200030_eng.pdf?sequence=17&isAllowed=y)
- 35 Kim MS, An MH, Kim WJ, *et al.* Comparative efficacy and safety of pharmacological interventions for the treatment of COVID-19: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *PLoS Med* 2020;17:e1003501.
- 36 Deeks JJ, Higgins JPT, Altman DG. Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: *Cochrane Handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*, 2008: 243–96.
- 37 Chaccour C, Casellas A, Blanco-Di Matteo A, *et al.* The effect of early treatment with ivermectin on viral load, symptoms and humoral response in patients with non-severe COVID-19: a pilot, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial. *EclinicalMedicine* 2021;32:100720.
- 38 Hill A, Abdulmir A, Ahmed S. Meta-Analysis of randomized trials of ivermectin to treat SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Research square* 2021. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-148845/v1
- 39 López-Medina E, López P, Hurtado IC, *et al.* Effect of ivermectin on time to resolution of symptoms among adults with mild COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2021. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.3071. [Epub ahead of print: 04 Mar 2021].